

SUMMARY OF AGREEMENTS

2015

GOCC PROPOSAL	GCG Observation/Findings	AGREEMENT	Remarks
Charter Statement		Charter Statement	Nomal R3
Mission Statement		Mission Statement	
	To provide sustainability, reliability and safety to the management of power systems, dams and watershed areas.	NPC to provide revised statement by 1Q of 2015	
Vision Statement		Vision Statement	
With social progress as our ultimate goal, we shall be a partner in nation-building. We shall electrify the country to its farthest communities and manage our assets to ensure their sustainability. We commit to continuously improve ourselves to better serve the public. All these for God and Country.	By (timeline), we shall provide power generation in the missionary areas and manage our assets to ensure their sustainability.	NPC to provide revised statement by 1Q of 2015	
Core Values		Core Values	
S.T.A.R. Values: Sustainability, Savings Transparency, Teamwork Action, Ability, Accountability Reforms, Resiliency Corporate Culture: Customer Focus Responsiveness to Employee and Stakeholder Concerns Performance Commitment and Accountability Innovation and Reforms Process Efficiency		S.T.A.R. Values: Sustainability, Savings Transparency, Teamwork Action, Ability, Accountability Reforms, Resiliency Corporate Culture: Customer Focus Responsiveness to Employee and Stakeholder Concerns Performance Commitment and Accountability Innovation and Reforms Process Efficiency	

7					PAN AGREEMENT							
-+					Component							
					5 44 - 0 - do		Baseline		Target			
			Weight	Unit	Rating Scale	2012	2013	2014	2015			
T	SO 1	Provided efficient operation of generation	on assets i	n missionar	y areas							
		Quality 1: Percentage of Readiness of Existing Plants (Equivalent Availability Factor)	4%	%	∑[Dependable Cap x (Period Hours - Outage Hours)] ÷ ∑[Rated Cap x (Period Hours - Deactivated Shutdown Hours)]		64.52%	65.44%	66.280%			
	SM2	Quality 2: Percentage of Unexpected Power Interruption (Forced Outage Rate)	4%	%	∑(Forced Outage Hours) ÷ ∑(Operating Hours + Forced Outage Hours)		0.284%	0.280%	0.274%			
	SM3	Quality 3: Plant Operation Efficiency (Net Heat Rate)	4%	Btu/kWh	[∑(Diesel Fuel Consumption x Ave Diesel Fuel Heating Value) + ∑(Bunker Fuel Consumption x Ave Bunker Fuel Heating Value)] ÷ ∑(Net Generation)		10,813	10,813	10,937			
l	SM4	Quality 4: Plant Maintenance Efficiency	2%	%	∑Planned Maintenance Days ÷ ∑Actual Maintenance Days		N/A	N/A	100%			
ŀ	SO 2											
Ī	SM5a	Quantity 1a: Completed Capacity Additions- Lease	5%	MW	∑(Nameplate Ratings of Leased Generation Capacity)		51.9	55.825	27.4			
1	SM5b	Quantity 1b: Completed Capacity Additions- Commissioned ¹	5%	MW	Actual Completed Capacity Addition		6.00	15.825	31.61			
	SM6	Quantity 2: Transmission Line	3%	ckt. km	Actual Completed Transmission Lines		N/A	128.23	188.22			
ŀ	SM7	Quantity 3: Substation Facilities	2%	MVA	Actual Completed Substations	<u> </u>	N/A	0	30			
ŀ	SO 3	Contributed to efficient operation of ge	neration a	ssets in the	main grids				<u> </u>			
ŀ	SM8	Quality 5: Percentage of Readiness of Existing Plants (Availability Factor) ²	3%	%	∑(Available Hours) + ∑(Period Hours)		92.92%	85.15%	89.50%			
	SM9	Quality 6: Unexpected Power Interruption (Forced Outage Rate) ²	4%	Hour	Actual Forced Outage Hour		22.04	25.125	24			

				PAN AGREEMENT				
				Component	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	estantia.		
		Majaht	Unit	Rating Scale		Baseline		Target
		Weight	Unit	Rauliy Scale	2012	2013	2014	2015
CRATA	Quality 7: Plant Operational Efficiency (Net Heat Rate) ²	2%	Btu/kWh	∑(Bunker Fuel Consumption x Ave Bunker Fuel Heating Value) ÷ ∑(Net Generation)		9,290	9,282	9,220
SM11	Quality 8: Plant Maintenance Efficiency	2%	%	∑Planned Maintenance Days ÷ ∑Actual Maintenance Days	•	N/A	N/A	100%
SO 4	To contribute to sustainable hydro and	geotherm	al plant oper	ations and support climate change m	itigation			
	Quantity 4: Reforestation of Open Areas	5%		Actual Areas Reforested		498	530	670
SM13	Timeliness 1: Eco-Tourism Development for NPC-Managed Watersheds	2.5%	Plan	Based on ManCom/President's approval		1-Report (Eco- Tourism Concept Framework for Angat Watershed Reservation)	Implementatio n of Eco- Tourism Program for Angat	Development: San Roque, Upper Agno Ri Implementation Execution: Caliraya-Lumo Operation: Ang
SO 5	Ensure the integrity and safety of dams	and mitig	ate the effec	ts of flooding through the conduct o	f IEC with the	he LGUs at the	target areas o	lownstream
SM14	Quantity 5: Number of Dam Integrity Inspections	2%	No.	Actual Dam Inspections		10	10	10
SM15a	Quantity 6: Percentage of target IEC/LGU at Angat, Ambuklao-Binga, San Roque, and Caliraya-Lumot dams ³	2.5%	%	(No. of actual IEC/LGU sessions) ÷ (Target No. of IEC/LGU sessions)		N/A	Conduct of IEC @ Angat, Ambuklao-Binga, San Roque, Caliraya-Lumot	1

				•	PAN AGREEMENT				
	 				Component		age and		
 	4				Detine Scale	Baseline			Target
- X			Weight	Unit	Rating Scale	2012	2013	2014	2015
				Catiofactic	ED-4: Delines - No. of				
	ISMISH	Quality 9: IEC Post-Evaluation Feedback for dams	1%		∑Participants' Ratings + No. of Participants		N/A	N/A	3.50
	SO 6	Ensure Customer/ Stakeholder satisfact	ion						
	SM16	Quality 10: Customer/Stakeholder Satisfaction	2%		∑Responsdents' Ratings ÷ No. of Respondents		N/A	N/A	Satisfactory level
		Subtotal of weights:	55%						
. 49	SO 7	Exercise fiscal prudence to optimize us	of resou	rces					
•	SM17	Quality 1: Fixed Cost Efficiency in the Missionary Areas	3%	P /kWh	$\sum [PS + MOOE] + \sum (Gross$ Generation)		4.620	3.49	4.25
,		Quality 2: Fixed Cost Efficiency: in the Main Grids: ² Hydro Plants (Agus-Pulangi)	6%	P /kWh	∑[PS + MOOE] ÷ ∑(Energy Sales)		N/A N/A	0.21 8.45	0.23 10.12
,		Power Barges (PB 101, 102 and 104) ⁴					IN/A	0.40	10.12
FINANCIAL	SM19	Quality 3: OMA Budget Utilization Efficiency ^{5 & 6}	4%	P/kWh	∑(Miscellaneous OMA Budget) + ∑(Energy Sales)		N/A	0.07	0.08
Ž	SO 8	Ensure adequate fund sources for susta	corporate liquidity						
	SM20a	Quality 4a: Collection Efficiency with	5%	%	Total Collections + Total Accounts Receivable		49.16%	49.41%	49.65%
	SM20b	Quality 4b: Collection Efficiency without BASULTA Customers	5%	%	Total Collections (excluding BASULTA) + Total Accounts Receivable (excluding BASULTA)		96.52%	96.52%	96.52%
	SM21	Financial 2: EBITDAS Margin with UCME ⁷	18%	%	EBITDAS + (Total Revenue/Income)		9%	6%	20%

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PAN AGREEMENT		26.5			
					Component					
. :			Weight	Unit	Rating Scale		Baseline		Target	
			Weight	O III	Nating Scale	2012	2013	2014	2015	
	SO 9	Secure the unregistered lots under OM	A in suppo	ort of the dis	posal of assets					
	SM22	Quantity 1: Filed Applications for Titling/ Issuance of Decrees/Titles of Unregistered Lots under OMA	1%	No.	No. of Lots with Filed Applications for Titling/ Issuance of Decrees/Titles	3	N/A	N/A	20	
•	SO 10	Secure the unregistered lots under Nor								
•	SM23	Quantity 2: Filed Applications for Titling/ Issuance of Decrees/Titles of Unregistered Lots under Non-OMA	1%	No.	No. of Lots with Filed Applications for Titling/ Issuance of Decrees/Titles		N/A	N/A	20	
	SO 11	Ensure employee productivity and com	petency							
CROWTH GROWTH		Quantity 3: No. of officials/staff trained per relevant programs Managerial Supervisory Rank and File		%	∑(Actual No. of Personnel Trained x Actual No. of Programs Implemented) + ∑(Target No. of Personnel x Target No. of Programs)		200.00% 106.59% 109.66%	90% 90% 90%	90% 90% 90%	

NOTES:

P/kWh

⁵ For OMA Budget Utilization Efficiency,

Energy Sales adopted:

GWh

¹ The targets were revised because the large capacity gensets' contract schedule will spill-over to another year.

² The targets are as per agreement between NPC and PSALM.

³ Change in targets per agreement with GCG.

⁴ Fixed Cost Efficiency for PB 104 only:

⁶ OMA Budget Utilization Efficiency pertains to Total Head Office Support, Subject to final agreement with PSALM.

						
	•		PAN AGREEMENT			
			Component			
	18/-1-14	11-14	Deting Socia	Bas	eline	Target
	Weight	Unit	Rating Scale	2012 201	3 2014	2015

⁷ EBITDAS Margin without UCME was removed and the Relative Weight was added to EBITDAS Margin with UCME.